### <u>Montana State Legislature</u>

2011Session

Exhibit

4


This exhibit is a booklet which can not be scanned, therefore only the front cover/table of content and 10 pages have been scanned to aid in your research.

The original exhibits are on file at the Montana Historical Society and may be viewed there.

Montana Historical Society Archives 225 N. Roberts Helena MT 59620-1201

2011 Legislative Scanner Susie Hamilton

# THE **NEW POLITICS** OF JUDICIAL ELECTIONS 2000-



## DECADE OF CHANGE

bу

**James Sample** 

Hofstra University School of Law

Adam Skaggs and Jonathan Blitzer

Brennan Center for Justice at NYU School of Law

Linda Casey

National Institute on Money in State Politics

Charles Hall, Editor

Justice at Stake Campaign

With a foreword from Justice Sandra Day O'Connor



at New York University School of Law





#### **Table of Contents**

List of Charts and Figures  Executive Summary  Chapter 1  The Money Explosion  Rise of the Super Spenders	page v
	page :
	Page 5
The Threat to Justice	Page
How We Got Here	Page 1.
Rise of the Super Spenders: Alabama	Page 1
Super Spenders in Other States	Page 10
Triumph of the Super Spenders: Illinois	Page 10
Money: Focus on 2007-08	Page 17
Other States of Note in 2007-08	Page 2
2009 High Court Elections: Costly Trends Continue	Page 2
Chapter Notes	Page 2
Chapter 2 Court TV: The Rise of Costly Attack Ads Introduction: After the Flood	<b>Page 24</b> Page 24
Independent Ads Lead the Attack	Page 29
Party Ads Crucial in '08	Page 28
State in Focus: Michigan	Page 30
TV: Focus on 2008	Page 3
State in Focus: Wisconsin	Page 32
2007: TV Spending in an Off Year	Page 36
Chapter Notes	Page 37
Chapter 3 Who Played? Who Won? Nationalizing of Court Elections	<b>Page 38</b> Page 39
The Left: Organizing at the State Level	Page 41
The National Networks	Page 42
American Justice Partnership	Page 42
U.S. Chamber of Commerce Network	Page 43
American Tort Reform Association	Page 44
The Secret Money Players	Page 44
Democratic Judicial Campaign Committee	Page 44
State-Level Coalitions	Page 45
Texas Titans: Bob Perry and Fred Baron	Page 45
Focus on Alabama: Shell Game on the Left	Page 46

Index	Page 104
Photo Sources	Page 102
Appendix 4: About the Authors	Page 100
Appendix 3: Facts About State Court Election and Appointment Systems	Page 99
Appendix 2: Supreme Court TV Advertisements 2008	Page 86
Appendices Appendix 1: State Profiles, 2000–2009	Page 78
Chapter Notes	Page 76
Hanging a 'For Sale' Sign Over the Judiciary	Page 76
Debate in the Business Sector	Page 75
The Voters Weigh In	Page 74
U.S. Chamber of Commerce and Merit Selection	Page 74
Judicial Appointments: The Emerging Battlefront	Page 73
The Media Take Note	Page 72
The Rise and Fall of Judicial Tampering by Ballot Measures	Page 71
The Broader Reform Menu	Page 69
Chapter 5 Public Takes Note, Decision-Makers Begin to Follow Recent Reform Efforts	<b>Page 67</b> Page 67
Chapter Notes	Page 66
Balancing Two Constitutional Rights: Free Speech and Fair Trials	Page 64
Campaign Finance Returns to the High Court	Page 62
Judicial Speech: How Far Can Candidates Go?	Page 61
The Caperton Coalition: Diverse Groups Rally to Defend Impartial Justice	Page 58
An Earlier Case of Election Bias?	Page 57
Who Decides What Is Fair and Impartial?	Page 56
Chapter 4 Litigation: The Battle Inside the Courtroom Caperton v. Massey: When Judges Must Step Aside	<b>Page 55</b> Page 55
Chapter Notes	Page 54
Quotes From the Decade	Page 52
The Battle for America's Courts	Page 50
Georgia: Here Today, Gone Tomorrow	Page 49
Michigan/Wisconsin: What's Behind the Curtain?	Page 48

#### List of Charts and Figures

Chapter 1 The Money Explosion 1. State Supreme Court Fundraising by Biennium Page 5 2. Total Supreme Court Fundraising Ranked by State, 2000-2009 Page 6 3. Contributions by Sector, 2000-2009 High Court Elections Page 8 4. Super Spenders and What They Spent Page 10 5. Total Spending for Top 10 States, 2000–2009 High Court Elections Page 12 6. Top U.S. Super Spenders, 2000-2009 High Court Elections Page 13 7. Supreme Court Fundraising: Partisan, Nonpartisan and Retention Elections Page 14 8. Total Supreme Court Spending: Top 10 States, 2007-08 Page 17 9. Top 10 Supreme Court Super Spenders, 2007-08 Page 18 10. Contributions by Sector, 2007-08 Page 19 11. Supreme Court Fundraising by Candidates on Ballot, 2007-08 Page 20 Chapter 2 Court TV: The Rise of Costly Attack Ads 12. Number of TV Ad Airings by Year, 2000–2009 High Court Elections Page 25 13. Total Spending on TV Ads, 2000-09 Page 26 14. Ohio 2000 Ad: Is Justice for Sale? Page 26 15. High Court Election TV Spending, 2000–2009 Page 27 16. Top 12 TV Ad Spenders, 2000-2009 High Court Elections Page 28 17. Greater Wisconsin Committee, TV Ad from 2008 Page 29 18. TV Spending, 2008 High Court Elections Page 29 19. The Sleeping Judge: Democratic Ad Slams Michigan Chief Justice Page 30 20. Sponsors and Tone, 2008 High Court Election Ads Page 31 21. 2008 Anti-Butler Ad Triggered Questions on Truth, Race Page 33 22. Alabama 2008: Ad Ties Candidate to Special Interests Page 34 23. Michigan 2008: Challenger Assailed as Soft on Terrorism Page 35 24. TV Spending, 2007 High Court Elections Page 36

25. TV Spending in Off-Year High Court Elections, Pennsylvania and Wisconsin

Page 36

Chapter 3	
Who Played? Who Won?	
26. Washington 2006: National Groups Finance Attack Ads in State Race	Page 38
27. Top Supreme Court Spenders, 2000–09 and 2007–08	Page 40
28. PACs Used by Beasley Allen to Mask High Court Donations	Page 47
29. Map: The Battle for America's Courts	Page 50
Chapter 4 The Battle Inside the Courtroom	
30. New York Times Caperton Headline	Page 55
31. Chronology of Avery v. State Farm Controversy	Page 57
32. Washington Post Citizens United Headline	Page 63
Chapter 5 Public Takes Note, Decision-Makers Begin to Follow 33. What the Public and Business Leaders Think	Page 68
34. South Dakota Amendment E Ad	Page 71
35. Ad for Greene County Nonpartisan Court Plan	Page 73
36. Ad against Greene County Nonpartisan Court Plan	Page 73